

Tackling turbulence with (super)computers at CTTC

F.Xavier Trias

Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center, Technical University of Catalonia





Tackling turbulence with (super)computers at CTTC

F.Xavier Trias

Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center, Technical University of Catalonia





Tackling turbulence with (super)computers at CTTC

F.Xavier Trias

Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center, Technical University of Catalonia





Preserving operator symmetries? Physical, numerical and computational implications

F.Xavier Trias

Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center, Technical University of Catalonia





CFD and HPC at CTTC	Symmetry-preserving schemes	Multiphase flows	Algebra-based HPC implementation	Conclusions

Contents

CFD and HPC at CTTC

- 2 Symmetry-preserving schemes
- 3 Multiphase flows
- 4 Algebra-based HPC implementation
 - 5 Conclusions

The CTTC research group

Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center (Catalan: *Centre Tecnològic de Transferència de Calor*) has more than 20 years experience on CFD:

- Fundamental research on numerical methods, fluid dynamics and heat and mass transfer phenomena.
- **Applied research** on thermal and fluid dynamic optimization of thermal system and equipment.



CTTC's historical background in HPC





Let's begin with some math...

$$\langle \nabla \cdot \vec{a} | \phi \rangle = -\langle \vec{a} | \nabla \phi \rangle$$

$$\langle \nabla^2 f | g \rangle = -\langle \nabla f | \nabla g \rangle = \langle f | \nabla^2 g \rangle$$

$$\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_1) | \phi_2 \rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_2) | \phi_1 \rangle \quad \text{if } \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\langle \nabla \times \vec{a} | \vec{b} \rangle = \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \times \vec{b} \rangle$$

Notation:

$$\langle a|b\rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d\,\Omega$$
 $C(\vec{u}, \phi) := (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \phi$
REMEMBER: we always assume **no contribution from**
domain boundary, $\partial \Omega$

Let's begin with some math...

$$\langle \nabla \cdot \vec{a} | \phi \rangle = - \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \phi \rangle$$

Proof:

$$\nabla \cdot (\phi \vec{a}) = \phi \nabla \cdot \vec{a} + \vec{a} \cdot \nabla \phi$$

$$\int_{\Omega}^{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\phi \vec{a}) = \langle \phi | \nabla \cdot \vec{a} \rangle + \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \phi \rangle$$

$$\int_{\partial \Omega}^{\Omega} (\phi \vec{a}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS = \langle \phi | \nabla \cdot \vec{a} \rangle + \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \phi \rangle = 0$$

REMAINDER!!!

$$\langle a|b\rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d\Omega$$

REMEMBER: we always assume no contribution from domain boundary, $\partial \Omega$

Operator symmetries and conservation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle & \text{Kinetic energy (in 2D/3D)} \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d \langle \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle}{dt} = \langle \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} | \vec{u} \rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \vec{u}) | \vec{u} \rangle + \nu \langle \nabla^2 \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle - \langle \nabla p | \vec{u} \rangle \\ = -\nu \langle \nabla \vec{u} | \nabla \vec{u} \rangle = -\nu \| \nabla \vec{u} \|^2 \le 0 \\ = -\nu \langle \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle = -\nu \| \omega \|^2 \le 0 \end{aligned}$$

If v=0, then $\langle \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle$ remains constant!!! Also, if the flow is irrotational, $\vec{\omega} = \vec{0}$. Remember Bernoulli!

ADDITIONAL REMAINDER!!!

$$\langle \nabla^2 f | g \rangle = -\langle \nabla f | \nabla g \rangle = \langle f | \nabla^2 g \rangle$$

$$\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_1) | \phi_2 \rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_2) | \phi_1 \rangle \quad \text{if } \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\langle \nabla \times \vec{a} | \vec{b} \rangle = \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \times \vec{b} \rangle$$

 $\langle \nabla \cdot \vec{a} | \phi \rangle = -\langle \vec{a} | \nabla \phi \rangle$

 $\nabla^2 \vec{u} = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) - \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{u}$

$$\langle a|b\rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d\, \Omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\langle a_h | b_h \rangle := a_h^T \mathbf{\Omega} b_h \in \mathbb{R}$$



$$a_{h} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} \\ a_{2} \\ a_{3} \\ a_{4} \\ a_{5} \\ a_{6} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Omega_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_{5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_{6} \end{pmatrix} \qquad b_{h} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1} \\ b_{2} \\ b_{3} \\ b_{4} \\ b_{5} \\ b_{6} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\langle a|b\rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d\, \Omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\langle a_h | b_h \rangle := a_h^T \mathbf{\Omega} b_h \in \mathbb{R}$$



$$a_{h} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} \\ a_{2} \\ a_{3} \\ a_{4} \\ a_{5} \\ a_{6} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \boldsymbol{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Omega_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_{5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_{6} \end{pmatrix} \qquad b_{h} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1} \\ b_{2} \\ b_{3} \\ b_{4} \\ b_{5} \\ b_{6} \end{pmatrix}$$

CFD and HPC at CTTC Symmetry-preserving schemes

$$\langle a|b\rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d\, \Omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\langle a_h | b_h \rangle := a_h^T \mathbf{\Omega} b_h \in \mathbb{R}$$



$$a_{h} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1} \\ a_{2} \\ a_{3} \\ a_{4} \\ a_{5} \\ a_{6} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Omega_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Omega_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_{5} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \Omega_{6} \end{pmatrix} \qquad b_{h} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1} \\ b_{2} \\ b_{3} \\ b_{4} \\ b_{5} \\ b_{6} \end{pmatrix}$$

 CFD and HPC at CTTC
 Symmetry-preserving schemes
 Multiphase flows
 Algebra-based HPC implementation
 Conclusions

 000
 000000000000
 00000000000
 00000000000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 000
 <td

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = v \nabla^{2} \vec{u} - \nabla p \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\Omega \frac{d u_{h}}{d t} + C(u_{h})u_{h} = D u_{h} - \Omega G p_{h} \quad M u_{h} = 0_{h} \quad p_{h}(t) = \begin{vmatrix} p_{1} \\ p_{2} \\ p_{3} \\ p_{4} \\ p_{5} \\ p_{6} \end{vmatrix} u_{u_{4}} u_{5}$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{u} \\ \Omega_{v} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Omega_{u} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \Omega_{u} \\ \Omega_{v} \\ \Omega_$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = v \nabla^{2} \vec{u} - \nabla p \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\Omega \frac{du_{h}}{dt} + C(u_{h})u_{h} = \mathbf{D} u_{h} - \Omega G p_{h} \quad \mathbf{M} u_{h} = 0_{h} \quad p_{h}(t) = \begin{vmatrix} p_{1} \\ p_{2} \\ p_{3} \\ p_{4} \\ p_{5} \\ p_{6} \end{vmatrix} u_{h}(t) = \frac{u_{1}}{u_{2}} u_{3} u_{4} u_{5} u_{4} u_{5} u_{6} u_{5} u_{6} u_{5} u_{6} u_{5} u_{6} u_{6} u_{6} u_{5} u_{6} u_{6}$$

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = v \nabla^{2} \vec{u} - \nabla p \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \qquad \left| \begin{array}{c} P_{1} \\ P_{2} \\ P_{3} \\ P_{4} \\ P_{5} \\ P_{6} \\ P_{6$$



$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = \nabla \nabla^{2} \vec{u} - \nabla p \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\Omega \frac{du_{h}}{dt} + C(u_{h})u_{h} = Du_{h} - \Omega G p_{h} \quad Mu_{h} = 0_{h} \quad p_{h}(t) = \begin{vmatrix} p_{1} \\ p_{2} \\ p_{3} \\ p_{4} \\ p_{5} \\ p_{6} \end{vmatrix} u_{u}(t) = u_{u}$$

$$U_{u}(t) = \begin{vmatrix} c_{14} - A_{14}U_{14} \\ c_{14} - C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{u} \\ C_{v} \end{pmatrix} \\ C_{u} = C_{v} = \begin{vmatrix} c_{11} & 0 & 0 & c_{14} & c_{15} & 0 \\ 0 & c_{22} & c_{23} & c_{24} & 0 & c_{26} \\ 0 & c_{32} & c_{33} & 0 & 0 & c_{36} \\ c_{41} & c_{42} & 0 & c_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ c_{51} & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{55} & c_{56} \\ 0 & c_{62} & c_{63} & 0 & c_{65} & c_{66} \end{vmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}^{x} \end{bmatrix}$

 \boldsymbol{D}_{ν}

 \boldsymbol{D}_u

Algebraic operators

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = v \nabla^2 \vec{u} - \nabla p \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\Omega \frac{du_h}{dt} + C(u_h)u_h = D u_h - \Omega G p_h \quad M u_h = 0_h$$

$$\int \mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_u \\ \Omega_v \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M = (M^u \quad M^v)$$

$$T = \begin{vmatrix} x & 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x & 0 & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & x & 0 & x \\ 0 & x & 0$$

Algebraic operators

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = v \nabla^2 \vec{u} - \nabla p \qquad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \qquad \langle a | b \rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d\Omega$$
$$\mathbf{\Omega} \frac{d u_h}{d t} + C(u_h) u_h = \mathbf{D} u_h - \mathbf{\Omega} \, \mathbf{G} \, p_h \qquad \mathbf{M} u_h = 0_h \qquad \langle a_h | b_h \rangle := a_h^T \mathbf{\Omega} \, b_h$$

Let's consider the time evolution of $1/2 \langle u_h | u_h \rangle$...

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\langle u_h | u_h \rangle}{dt} = u_h^T \mathbf{\Omega} \frac{d u_h}{dt} = -u_h^T \mathbf{C}(u_h) u_h + u_h^T \mathbf{D} u_h - u_h^T \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{G} p_h$$

= $u_h^T \mathbf{D} u_h \le 0$...mimicking the properties
of continuous NS eqs leads to

REMAINDER!!!

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\langle \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle}{dt} = \langle \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} | \vec{u} \rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \vec{u}) | \vec{u} \rangle + v \langle \nabla^2 \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle - \langle \nabla p | \vec{u} \rangle$$
$$= -v \langle \nabla \vec{u} | \nabla \vec{u} \rangle = -v \| \nabla \vec{u} \|^2 \le 0$$
$$= -v \langle \nabla x \nabla x \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle = -v \| \omega \|^2 \le 0$$

Numerical stability !!!

Algebraic operators

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d \langle u_h | u_h \rangle}{dt} = u_h^T \Omega \frac{d u_h}{dt} = -u_h^T C(u_h) u_h + u_h^T D u_h - u_h^T \Omega G p_h$$
$$= u_h^T D u_h \le 0 , \quad \text{if } M u_h = 0_h, \forall u_h, p_h$$
$$u_h^T C(u_h) u_h = 0 \longrightarrow C(u_h) = -C^T(u_h)$$
$$u_h^T \Omega G p_h = 0 \longrightarrow \Omega G = -M^T$$
$$u_h^T D u_h \le 0 \longrightarrow D = D^T \text{ def-}$$

REMAINDER!!!

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\langle \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle}{dt} = & \langle \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} | \vec{u} \rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \vec{u}) | \vec{u} \rangle + v \langle \nabla^2 \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle - \langle \nabla p | \vec{u} \rangle \\ = & -v \langle \nabla \vec{u} | \nabla \vec{u} \rangle = -v \| \nabla \vec{u} \|^2 \le 0 \\ = & -v \langle \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle = -v \| \mathbf{u} \|^2 \le 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &\langle \nabla \cdot \vec{a} | \varphi \rangle {=} {-} \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \varphi \rangle \\ &\langle \nabla^2 f | g \rangle {=} {-} \langle \nabla f | \nabla g \rangle {=} \langle f | \nabla^2 g \rangle \\ &\langle C(\vec{u}, \varphi_1) | \varphi_2 {=} {-} \langle C(\vec{u}, \varphi_2) | \varphi_1 \rangle \quad \text{if} \ \nabla \cdot \vec{u} {=} 0 \\ &\langle \nabla \times \vec{a} | \vec{b} \rangle {=} \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \times \vec{b} \rangle \end{split}$$

Algebraic operators

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} \frac{d u_h}{d t} + \boldsymbol{C}(u_h) u_h = \boldsymbol{D} u_h - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{G} \boldsymbol{p}_h \qquad \boldsymbol{M} u_h = \boldsymbol{0}_h$$



$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = v \nabla^2 \vec{u} - \nabla p; \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\langle a|b\rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d\Omega \qquad \longrightarrow \langle a_h|b_h\rangle := a_h^T \Omega \, b_h$$

$$\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_1)|\phi_2\rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_2)|\phi_1\rangle \longrightarrow C(u_h) = -C^T(u_h)$$

$$\langle \nabla \cdot \vec{a}|\phi\rangle = -\langle \vec{a}|\nabla \phi\rangle \qquad \longrightarrow \Omega \, G = -M^T$$

$$\langle \nabla^2 f|g\rangle = \langle f|\nabla^2 g\rangle \qquad D = D^T \, def$$
REMAINDER!!
$$(\nabla \cdot \vec{a}|\phi) = -\langle \vec{a}|\nabla \phi\rangle \qquad \qquad \square G \, g_h = 0 \qquad \square G \, g_h = 0$$

$$(\nabla \cdot \vec{a}|\phi) = -\langle \vec{a}|\nabla \phi\rangle \qquad \qquad \square G \, g_h = 0 \qquad \square G \, g_h = 0$$

$$(\nabla \cdot \vec{a}|\phi) = -\langle \vec{a}|\nabla \phi\rangle \qquad \qquad \square G \, g_h = 0 \qquad \square G \, g_h = 0$$

Journal of Computational Physics 258 (2014) 246-267



Symmetry-preserving discretization of Navier–Stokes equations on collocated unstructured grids



F.X. Trias ^{a,b}, O. Lehmkuhl ^{a,c}, A. Oliva ^{a,*,1}, C.D. Pérez-Segarra ^a, R.W.C.P. Verstappen ^b

^a Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center, Technical University of Catalonia, ETSEAT, c/ Colom 11, 08222 Terrassa, Spain ^b Johann Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics and Computing Science, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 407, 9700 AK Groningen, The Netherlands ^c Termor Fluids, S.L., c/ Magi Coler & 0.0204 Sabadell, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 November 2012 Received in revised form 16 September 2013 Accepted 17 October 2013 Available online 24 October 2013

Keywords:

Symmetry-preserving discretization Collocated formulation Unstructured grid Checkerboard Regularization Differentially heated cavity

ABSTRACT

A fully-conservative discretization is presented in this paper. The same principles followed by Verstappen and Veldman (2003) [3] are generalized for unstructured meshes. Here, a collocated-mesh scheme is preferred over a staggered one due to its simpler form for such meshes. The basic idea behind this approach remains the same: mimicking the crucial symmetry properties of the underlying differential operators, i.e., the convective operator is approximated by a skew-symmetric matrix and the diffusive operator by a symmetry positive-definite matrix. A nove approach to eliminate the checkerboard spurious modes without introducing any non-physical dissipation is proposed. To do so, a fully-conservative regularization of the convective term is used. The supraconvergence of the method is numerically showed and the treatment of boundary conditions is discussed. Finally, the new discretization method is successfully tested for a buoyancy-driven turbulent flow in a differentially heated cavity.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Conservative Level Set method



Conservative Level Set method



First variation of area

$$\begin{cases} \rho \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \rho(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = \nabla \cdot (2\mu S(\vec{u})) - \nabla p + \gamma \kappa \cdot \vec{n} \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \theta = 0 \quad \text{essential idea of the Convervative Level Set (CLS)} \\ \kappa = -\nabla \cdot \vec{n} \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{n} = \frac{\nabla \theta}{|\nabla \theta|} \\ A = \int_{\Gamma} dA = \int_{\Omega} \delta(r) dV = \int_{\Omega} \nabla H(r) \cdot \vec{n} dV \\ \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} dA = -\int_{\Gamma} \kappa \vec{u} \cdot \vec{n} dA \quad \text{First variation of area} \\ \theta = 0 \qquad \theta = 1 \\ \theta = 1 \qquad \theta = 1 \end{cases}$$

First variation of area

From sharp to smooth...

From sharp to smooth...

$$\begin{cases} \rho \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \rho(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = \nabla \cdot (2\mu S(\vec{u})) - \nabla p + \gamma \kappa \cdot \vec{n} \qquad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \theta = 0 \qquad \text{essential idea of the Convervative Level Set (CLS)} \\ \kappa = -\nabla \cdot \vec{n} \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{n} = \frac{\nabla \theta}{|\nabla \theta|} \\ A = \int_{\Gamma} dA = \int_{\Omega} \delta(r) dV = \int_{\Omega} \nabla H(r) \cdot \vec{n} dV \\ \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} dA = -\int_{\Gamma} \kappa \vec{u} \cdot \vec{n} dA \qquad \qquad \text{First variation of area} \\ \frac{d\tilde{A}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \langle \nabla \theta | \vec{n} \rangle = -\langle \nabla \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \theta | \vec{n} \rangle = -\int_{\Gamma} \kappa \vec{u} \cdot \vec{n} d\tilde{A} \\ \widetilde{A} = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \theta| dV \qquad \qquad \text{First variation of (smooth) area} \end{cases}$$

From smooth to discrete...

$$\begin{cases} \rho \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \rho(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = \nabla \cdot (2\mu S(\vec{u})) - \nabla p + \gamma \kappa \cdot \vec{n} \qquad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \theta = 0 \qquad \text{essential idea of the Convervative Level Set (CLS)} \\ \kappa = -\nabla \cdot \vec{n} \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{n} = \frac{\nabla \theta}{|\nabla \theta|} \\ \frac{d\vec{A}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \langle \nabla \theta | \vec{n} \rangle = -\langle \nabla \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \theta | \vec{n} \rangle = -\int_{\Gamma} \kappa \vec{u} \cdot \vec{n} d\vec{A} \\ \frac{d}{dt} \langle G \theta_c | n_s \rangle_F = \langle U G \theta_c | k_s \rangle_F \quad \text{where} \quad k_s = Y k_c = Y \frac{\Omega_c^{-1} M n_s}{k_c} \\ \text{and} \quad U = diag(u_s) \xrightarrow{k_c} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle G \theta_c | \mathbf{n}_s \rangle_F = -\left(\frac{d \theta_c}{dt}\right)^T M \mathbf{n}_s = -\theta_c^T G^T U \Omega_s \underbrace{Y \Omega_c^{-1} M \mathbf{n}_s}_{\mathbf{k}_s}$$

From smooth to discrete...

$$\begin{split} & \underset{\partial}{\text{equiv}} \begin{cases} \rho \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \rho(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = \nabla \cdot (2\mu S(\vec{u})) - \nabla p + \gamma \kappa \cdot \vec{n} & \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \\ & \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \theta = 0 & \underset{\text{proposed by Olsson and Kreiss (JCP'05)}{\text{essential idea of the Convervative Level Set (CLS)}} \\ & \kappa = -\nabla \cdot \vec{n} \quad \text{where} \quad \vec{n} = \frac{\nabla \theta}{|\nabla \theta|} \\ & \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \theta = 0 & \underset{\Omega_c}{FVM} & \Omega_c \frac{d \theta_c}{dt} + C(u_s) \theta_c = 0_c \\ & \text{traspose eq.} \\ & -C^T(u_s) = -G^T U \Omega_s Y \\ & \frac{d}{dt} \langle G \theta_c | \mathbf{n}_s \rangle_F = -\left(\frac{d \theta_c}{dt}\right)^T M \mathbf{n}_s = -\theta_c^T G^T U \Omega_s \underbrace{Y \Omega_c^{-1} M \mathbf{n}_s}_{k_s} \end{split}$$

From smooth to discrete...



Results: inviscid oscilating bubble



Results: inviscid oscilating bubble



Results: inviscid static bubble

Mechanical energy (kinetic+potential) is not preserved!

Results: inviscid static bubble

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \rho(\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = \nabla \cdot (2\mu S(\vec{u})) - \nabla p + \gamma \kappa \cdot \vec{n} & \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \\ & \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \theta = 0 \end{aligned} \right. \end{aligned} \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} essential idea of the Convervative Level Set (CLS) \\ proposed by Olsson and Kreiss (JCP'05) \\ & \kappa = -\nabla \cdot \vec{n} \end{aligned} \\ & \text{where} \quad \vec{n} = \frac{\nabla \theta}{|\nabla \theta|} \end{aligned} \\ & \left\{ \begin{array}{l} e^{-0.5} \\ e^{-1.6-0.5} \\ e^{$$

Mechanical energy (kinetic+potential) is preserved!

Paper submitted

An energy-preserving level set method for multiphase flows

N. Valle^a, F.X. Trias^a, J. Castro^a

^aHeat and Mass Transfer Technological Centre (CTTC), Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya -BarcelonaTech (UPC), ESEIAAT, Carrer Colom 11, 08222 Terrassa (Barcelona)

Abstract

The computation of multiphase flows presents a subtle energetic equilibrium between potential (i.e., surface) and kinetic energies. The use of traditional interface-capturing

CTTC's historical background in HPC



Divergence of HPC systems



The progress in hardware architectures is leading to an increasing hybridisation of highperformance computing (HPC) systems, making the design of computing applications a rather complex problem, and is affecting most of the fields that rely on large-scale simulations.



Divergence of HPC systems



Is it necessary to use the new hardware architectures?

• In our opinion, **yes**. New hardware is designed to overcome the power constraint in the context of the **exascale** challenge.

Is it necessary to use the new hardware architectures?

• In our opinion, **yes**. New hardware is designed to overcome the power constraint in the context of the **exascale** challenge.

Do the traditional implementation models facilitate code portability?

 In our opinion, no. Legacy codes were not designed for providing portability simply because it was not necessary.

Is it necessary to use the new hardware architectures?

• In our opinion, **yes**. New hardware is designed to overcome the power constraint in the context of the **exascale** challenge.

Do the traditional implementation models facilitate code portability?

 In our opinion, no. Legacy codes were not designed for providing portability simply because it was not necessary.

Do we need to change the way we look at scientific computing in general?

 In our opinion, yes. Making an effort to design modular applications composed of a reduced number of independent and well-defined code blocks helps to reduce the generation of errors and facilitates debugging and portability.

My basic blocks: matrices and vectors!

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} \frac{d u_h}{d t} + \boldsymbol{C}(u_h) u_h = \boldsymbol{D} u_h - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{G} p_h \qquad \boldsymbol{M} u_h = \boldsymbol{0}_h$$



Stencil-based

Traditionally, the stencil-based implementations are used by the scientific computing community. These implementations arise straightforward from the formulation of the numerical method. However, they require **specific stencil sweeps and data structures** for each numerical method.

Algebra-based

Algebra-based implementations only rely on a reduced number of **universal algebraic kernels and data structures**, allowing the use of standard optimised libraries and, therefore, providing portability. As a counterpart, the formulation of the numerical method becomes more complex and could even lead to an increase in the number of operations.











Study case 1

Single-device performance of the SpMV kernel *vs* the matrix size on an Intel Xeon E5649 (left) and Nvidia M2090 (right) for a matrix derived from a symmetry-preserving discretisation¹ on an unstructured hex-dominant mesh.



Study case 1

Single-device performance of the SpMV kernel *vs* the matrix size on an Intel Xeon E5649 (left) and Nvidia M2090 (right) for a matrix derived from a symmetry-preserving discretisation¹ on an unstructured hex-dominant mesh.



CPU vs GPU

In memory-bounded applications, the GPU performance improves with the size of the matrix, in contrast with that of the CPU. Hence, the speedup depends on both the matrix size and the memory bandwidth.

Study case 2

Single-device performance comparison of the algebraic DNS algorithm using the symmetry-preserving discretisation² on an unstructured hex-dominant mesh of 1M cells.



Study case 3

Heterogeneous performance study of the SpMV kernel on a hybrid node equipped with an Intel E5 2697v3 and an Nvidia Tesla K40 for a matrix derived from a symmetry-preserving discretisation³ on an unstructured hex-dominant mesh of 10M cells. On the left, the single-node performance study. On the right, the strong-scaling study.



Computers and Fluids 173 (2018) 285-292



HPC²—A fully-portable, algebra-based framework for heterogeneous computing. Application to CFD



X. Álvarez^{a,*}, A. Gorobets^{a,b}, F.X. Trias^a, R. Borrell^{a,c}, G. Oyarzun^a

³ Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center, Technical University of Catalonia, C/ Colom 11, Terrassa (Barcelona) 08222, Spain ^b Kelaysh Institute of Applied Mathematics RAS, Musskaya Sa, 4, Moscow 125047, Russia ^cTermo Fuids, S.L., Cl Magi Colet S, Sabadell Barcelona), 08242, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 November 2017 Accepted 23 January 2018 Available online 10 February 2018

Keywords: Heterogeneous computing MPI+OpenMP+OpenCL Hybrid CPU+GPU systems CFD Symmetry-preserving discretization

ABSTRACT

The variety of computing architectures competing in the exacale race makes the portability of codes of major importance. In this work, the HPC² code is presented as a fully-portable, algebra-based framework suitable for heterogeneous computing. In its application to CFD, the algorithm of the time-integration phase relies on a reduced set of only three algebraic operations: the sparse matrix-vector product, the linear combination of vectors and the dot product. This algebraic approach combined with a multilevel MPI-OpenCI parallelization naturally provides portability. The performance has been studied on different architectures including multicore CPUs, Intel Xeon Phi accelerators and CPUs of AMD and NVIDIA. The multi-CPU scalability is demonstrated up to 256 devices. The heterogeneous execution is tested on a CPU-CPU hybrid cluster. Finally, results of the direct numerical simulation of a turbulent flow in a 3D air-filled differentially heated cavity are presented to show the capabilities of the HPC² dealing with large-scale CFD simulations.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Concluding remarks

- Symmetry-preserving discretization is based on a **very reduced set** of operators (matrices). The rest follow straightforwardly by preserving fundamental symmetries.
- Preserving operators symmetries leads to **numerical stability** (in the L2-norm sense).
- A new **energy-preserving** level set method for **multiphase flows** has been proposed.
- An algebra-based approach naturally provides **modularity and portability**.

Concluding remarks

- Symmetry-preserving discretization is based on a **very reduced set** of operators (matrices). The rest follow straightforwardly by preserving fundamental symmetries.
- Preserving operators symmetries leads to **numerical stability** (in the L2-norm sense).
- A new **energy-preserving** level set method for **multiphase flows** has been proposed.
- An algebra-based approach naturally provides **modularity and portability**.

Takeaway message:

• Differential calculus and linear algebra are intimately connected.

Thank you for your attention