Algebra-based HPC implementation



# Tackling turbulence with (super)computers at CTTC

#### F.Xavier Trias, Joaquim Rigola, Assensi Oliva

#### Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center, Technical University of Catalonia



Algebra-based HPC implementation



# Tackling turbulence with (super)computers at CTTC

#### F.Xavier Trias, Joaquim Rigola, Assensi Oliva

Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center, Technical University of Catalonia



Algebra-based HPC implementation

Conclusions



# Tackling turbulence with (super)computers at CTTC

#### F.Xavier Trias, Joaquim Rigola, Assensi Oliva

Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center, Technical University of Catalonia



Algebra-based HPC implementation

Conclusions



# Preserving operator symmetries? Physical, numerical and computational implications

#### F.Xavier Trias, Joaquim Rigola, Assensi Oliva

Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center, Technical University of Catalonia



#### Contents

#### CFD and HPC at CTTC

- 2 Symmetry-preserving discretization
- 3 Algebra-based HPC implementation

### 4 Conclusions

Conclusions

## The CTTC research group

Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center (Catalan: *Centre Tecnològic de Transferència de Calor*) has more than 20 years experience on CFD:

- Fundamental research on numerical methods, fluid dynamics and heat and mass transfer phenomena.
- **Applied research** on thermal and fluid dynamic optimization of thermal system and equipment.



Conclusions

## CTTC's historical background in HPC



Conclusions

## Let's begin with some math...

$$\langle \nabla \cdot \vec{a} | \phi \rangle = -\langle \vec{a} | \nabla \phi \rangle$$

$$\langle \nabla^2 f | g \rangle = -\langle \nabla f | \nabla g \rangle = \langle f | \nabla^2 g \rangle$$

$$\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_1) | \phi_2 \rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_2) | \phi_1 \rangle \quad \text{if } \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\langle \nabla \times \vec{a} | \vec{b} \rangle = \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \times \vec{b} \rangle$$

## Notation:

$$\langle a|b \rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d \, \Omega$$
  $C(\vec{u}, \phi) := (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \phi$   
REMEMBER: we always assume **no contribution from**  
**domain boundary,**  $\partial \, \Omega$ 

Algebra-based HPC implementation

Conclusions

## Let's begin with some math...

$$\langle \nabla \cdot \vec{a} | \phi \rangle = - \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \phi \rangle$$

Proof:

$$\nabla \cdot (\phi \vec{a}) = \phi \nabla \cdot \vec{a} + \vec{a} \cdot \nabla \phi$$
  
$$\int_{\Omega}^{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\phi \vec{a}) = \langle \phi | \nabla \cdot \vec{a} \rangle + \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \phi \rangle$$
  
$$\int_{\partial \Omega}^{\Omega} (\phi \vec{a}) \cdot \vec{n} \, dS = \langle \phi | \nabla \cdot \vec{a} \rangle + \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \phi \rangle = 0$$

REMAINDER!!!

$$\langle a|b \rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d\Omega$$
  
REMEMBER: we always assume no contribution from domain boundary,  $\partial \Omega$ 

Conclusions

#### Operator symmetries and conservation

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle & \text{Kinetic energy (in 2D/3D)} \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d \langle \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle}{dt} = \langle \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} | \vec{u} \rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \vec{u}) | \vec{u} \rangle + \nu \langle \nabla^2 \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle - \langle \nabla p | \vec{u} \rangle \\ = -\nu \langle \nabla \vec{u} | \nabla \vec{u} \rangle = -\nu \| \nabla \vec{u} \|^2 \le 0 \\ = -\nu \langle \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle = -\nu \| \omega \|^2 \le 0 \end{array}$$

If v=0, then  $\langle \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle$  remains constant!!! Also, if the flow is irrotational,  $\vec{\omega} = \vec{0}$ . Remember Bernoulli!

#### ADDITIONAL REMAINDER!!!

$$\langle \nabla \cdot a | \phi \rangle = -\langle a | \nabla \phi \rangle$$
  
 
$$\langle \nabla^2 f | g \rangle = -\langle \nabla f | \nabla g \rangle = \langle f | \nabla^2 g \rangle$$
  
 
$$\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_1) | \phi_2 \rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_2) | \phi_1 \rangle \quad \text{if } \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$
  
 
$$\langle \nabla \times \vec{a} | \vec{b} \rangle = \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \times \vec{b} \rangle$$

 $\nabla^2 \vec{u} = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) - \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{u}$ 

Algebra-based HPC implementation

Conclusions

$$\langle a|b\rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d\, \Omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\langle a_h | b_h \rangle := a_h^T \mathbf{\Omega} b_h \in \mathbb{R}$$





Algebra-based HPC implementation

Conclusions

$$\langle a|b\rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d\, \Omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\langle a_h | b_h \rangle := a_h^T \mathbf{\Omega} b_h \in \mathbb{R}$$





Algebra-based HPC implementation

Conclusions

$$\langle a|b\rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d\, \Omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\langle a_h | b_h \rangle := a_h^T \mathbf{\Omega} b_h \in \mathbb{R}$$





CFD and HPC at CTTC 00

Symmetry-preserving discretization

Algebra-based HPC implementation

Conclusions



Conclusions

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = \nabla \nabla^{2} \vec{u} - \nabla p \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\Omega \frac{du_{h}}{dt} + C(u_{h})u_{h} = Du_{h} - \Omega G p_{h} \quad Mu_{h} = 0_{h} \quad p_{h}(t) = \begin{vmatrix} p_{1} \\ p_{2} \\ p_{3} \\ p_{4} \\ p_{5} \\ p_{6} \end{vmatrix} u_{u}(t) = u_{u}$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_{u} \\ \Omega_{v} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Omega_{u} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \Omega_{u} \\ \Omega_{v} \\ \Omega_{v}$$

Conclusions

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = v \nabla^{2} \vec{u} - \nabla p \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\Omega \frac{du_{h}}{dt} + C(u_{h})u_{h} = \mathbf{D} u_{h} - \Omega G p_{h} \quad \mathbf{M} u_{h} = 0_{h} \quad p_{h}(t) = \begin{vmatrix} p_{1} \\ p_{2} \\ p_{3} \\ p_{4} \\ p_{5} \\ p_{6} \end{vmatrix} u_{h}(t) = \frac{u_{1}}{u_{2}} u_{3} u_{4} u_{5} u_{4} u_{5} u_{6} u_{5} u_{6} u_{5} u_{6} u_{5} u_{6} u_{6} u_{6} u_{5} u_{6} u_{6}$$

Conclusions

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = v \nabla^{2} \vec{u} - \nabla p \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \qquad \left| \begin{array}{c} p_{1} \\ p_{2} \\ p_{3} \\ p_{4} \\ p_{5} \\ p_{6} \\ p_{6$$

Conclusions



Conclusions

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = v \nabla^{2} \vec{u} - \nabla p \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\Omega \frac{d u_{h}}{d t} + C (u_{h}) u_{h} = D u_{h} - \Omega G p_{h} \quad M u_{h} = 0_{h} \quad p_{h}(t) = \begin{vmatrix} p_{1} \\ p_{2} \\ p_{3} \\ p_{4} \\ p_{5} \\ p_{6} \end{vmatrix} u_{u}(t) = u_{u}$$

$$U_{u}(t) = \begin{vmatrix} c_{14} - A_{14} U_{14} \\ c_{14} - C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{u} \\ C_{v} \end{pmatrix} \\ U_{h}(t) = u_{h}(t) = u_{h}(t) = u_{h}(t)$$

$$U_{u}(t) = \begin{vmatrix} c_{11} & 0 & 0 & c_{14} & c_{15} & 0 \\ 0 & c_{22} & c_{23} & c_{24} & 0 & c_{26} \\ 0 & c_{32} & c_{33} & 0 & 0 & c_{36} \\ c_{41} & c_{42} & 0 & c_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ c_{51} & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{55} & c_{56} \\ 0 & c_{62} & c_{63} & 0 & c_{65} & c_{66} \end{vmatrix}$$

Algebra-based HPC implementation

Conclusions

#### Algebraic operators

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = \nabla \nabla^2 \vec{u} - \nabla p \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\Omega \frac{du_h}{dt} + C(u_h)u_h = D u_h - \Omega G p_h \qquad M u_h = 0_h$$

$$\int \mathbf{\Omega} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Omega}_u \\ \mathbf{\Omega}_v \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M = (\mathbf{M}^u \quad \mathbf{M}^v)$$

$$T = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{X} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{X} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} &$$



 $\boldsymbol{D}_{v}$ 

 $\mathbf{D} = | \mathbf{D}_u|$ 

Conclusions

#### Algebraic operators: basic properties

Let us consider square matrices,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ :

• Eigenvalues&eigenvectors:  $\mathbf{A} \, \vec{v}_i = \lambda_i \, \vec{v}_i, \quad i = 1, ..., n$ ...or equivalently  $(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \, \mathbf{I}) \, \vec{v} = \vec{0}$ 

 $|A - \lambda I| = 0$  characteristic equation of A



Conclusions

#### Algebraic operators: basic properties

Symmetric matrices, 
$$A = A^{T}$$
:  
 $A \vec{v}_{i} = \lambda_{i} \vec{v}_{i}, \quad \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \vec{v}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$   
 $\Lambda = P^{-1}AP$  where  $P = (\vec{v}_{1} \quad \vec{v}_{2} \quad \dots \quad \vec{v}_{n})$   
Example:  $\vec{x}, \Lambda \vec{x}, \Lambda^{2} \vec{x}, \Lambda^{3} \vec{x}, \dots \quad y$   
 $\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 16 \end{pmatrix}$   
It resembles a diffusive process!

Conclusions

#### Algebraic operators: basic properties

Skew-symmetric matrices,  $A = -A^{T}$ :

$$A \vec{v}_i = \lambda_i \vec{v}_i, \quad \lambda_i \in I \quad \vec{v}_i \in I^n$$



Conclusions

#### Algebraic operators: basic properties

Skew-symmetric matrices,  $A = -A^{T}$ :

$$A\vec{v}_i = \lambda_i \vec{v}_i, \quad \lambda_i \in I \quad \vec{v}_i \in I^n$$

And it is always a 90° rotation!!!

$$\vec{x}^T A \vec{x} = 0, \quad \forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$





Reminder: symmetry and conservation of kinetic energy

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle & \text{Kinetic energy (in 2D/3D)} \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d \langle \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle}{dt} = \langle \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} | \vec{u} \rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \vec{u}) | \vec{u} \rangle + \nu \langle \nabla^2 \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle - \langle \nabla p | \vec{u} \rangle \\ = -\nu \langle \nabla \vec{u} | \nabla \vec{u} \rangle = -\nu \| \nabla \vec{u} \|^2 \le 0 \\ = -\nu \langle \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle = -\nu \| \omega \|^2 \le 0 \end{array}$$

If v=0, then  $\langle \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle$  remains constant!!! Also, if the flow is irrotational,  $\vec{\omega} = \vec{0}$ . Remember Bernoulli!

#### ADDITIONAL REMAINDER!!!

$$\langle \nabla \cdot a | \phi \rangle = -\langle a | \nabla \phi \rangle$$

$$\langle \nabla^2 f | g \rangle = -\langle \nabla f | \nabla g \rangle = \langle f | \nabla^2 g \rangle$$

$$\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_1) | \phi_2 \rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_2) | \phi_1 \rangle \quad \text{if } \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\langle \nabla \times \vec{a} | \vec{b} \rangle = \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \times \vec{b} \rangle$$

 $\nabla^2 \vec{u} = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) - \nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{u}$ 

Conclusions

#### Algebraic operators

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = v \nabla^2 \vec{u} - \nabla p \qquad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \qquad \langle a | b \rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d\Omega$$
$$\mathbf{\Omega} \frac{d u_h}{d t} + C(u_h) u_h = \mathbf{D} u_h - \mathbf{\Omega} \, \mathbf{G} \, p_h \qquad \mathbf{M} u_h = 0_h \qquad \langle a_h | b_h \rangle := a_h^T \mathbf{\Omega} \, b_h$$

Let's consider the time evolution of  $1/2 \langle u_h | u_h \rangle$ ...

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\langle u_h | u_h \rangle}{dt} = u_h^T \mathbf{\Omega} \frac{d u_h}{dt} = -u_h^T \mathbf{C}(u_h) u_h + u_h^T \mathbf{D} u_h - u_h^T \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{G} p_h$$
  
= $u_h^T \mathbf{D} u_h \le 0$  ...mimicking the properties  
of continuous NS eqs leads to

#### **REMAINDER!!!**

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\langle \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle}{dt} = & \langle \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} | \vec{u} \rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \vec{u}) | \vec{u} \rangle + v \langle \nabla^2 \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle - \langle \nabla p | \vec{u} \rangle \\ = & -v \langle \nabla \vec{u} | \nabla \vec{u} \rangle = -v \| \nabla \vec{u} \|^2 \le 0 \\ = & -v \langle \nabla x \nabla x \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle = -v \| \omega \|^2 \le 0 \end{aligned}$$

Numerical stability !!!

Algebra-based HPC implementation

Conclusions

#### Algebraic operators

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\langle u_h | u_h \rangle}{dt} = u_h^T \Omega \frac{d u_h}{dt} = -u_h^T C(u_h) u_h + u_h^T D u_h - u_h^T \Omega G p_h$$
$$= u_h^T D u_h \le 0 , \quad \text{if } M u_h = 0_h, \forall u_h, p_h$$
$$u_h^T C(u_h) u_h = 0 \longrightarrow C(u_h) = -C^T(u_h)$$
$$u_h^T \Omega G p_h = 0 \longrightarrow \Omega G = -M^T$$
$$u_h^T D u_h \le 0 \longrightarrow D = D^T \text{ def-}$$
REMAINDER!!!

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d \langle \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle}{dt} = \langle \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} | \vec{u} \rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \vec{u}) | \vec{u} \rangle + v \langle \nabla^2 \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle - \langle \nabla p | \vec{u} \rangle \\ &= -v \langle \nabla \vec{u} | \nabla \vec{u} \rangle = -v \| \nabla \vec{u} \|^2 \le 0 \\ &= -v \langle \nabla \nabla \nabla \nabla \vec{u} | \vec{u} \rangle = -v \| \omega \|^2 \le 0 \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\langle \nabla \cdot \vec{a} | \phi \rangle \!=\! - \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \phi \rangle \\ &\langle \nabla^2 f | g \rangle \!=\! - \langle \nabla f | \nabla g \rangle \!=\! \langle f | \nabla^2 g \rangle \\ &\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_1) | \phi_2 \rangle \!=\! - \langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_2) | \phi_1 \rangle \quad \text{if} \ \nabla \cdot \vec{u} \!=\! 0 \\ &\langle \nabla \times \vec{a} | \vec{b} \rangle \!=\! \langle \vec{a} | \nabla \times \vec{b} \rangle \end{split}$$

Algebra-based HPC implementation

Conclusions

#### Algebraic operators

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} \frac{d u_h}{d t} + \boldsymbol{C}(u_h) u_h = \boldsymbol{D} u_h - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{G} p_h \qquad \boldsymbol{M} u_h = \boldsymbol{0}_h$$



Conclusions

$$\frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial t} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} = v \nabla^2 \vec{u} - \nabla p; \quad \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\langle a|b\rangle := \int_{\Omega} ab \, d\Omega \qquad \longrightarrow \langle a_h|b_h\rangle := a_h^T \Omega \, b_h$$

$$\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_1)|\phi_2\rangle = -\langle C(\vec{u}, \phi_2)|\phi_1\rangle \longrightarrow C(u_h) = -C^T(u_h)$$

$$\langle \nabla \cdot \vec{a}|\phi\rangle = -\langle \vec{a}|\nabla \phi\rangle \qquad \longrightarrow \Omega \, G = -M^T$$

$$\langle \nabla^2 f|g\rangle = \langle f|\nabla^2 g\rangle \qquad \longrightarrow D = D^T \, def$$
REMAINDER!!
$$(\nabla \cdot \vec{a}|\phi) = -\langle \vec{a}|\nabla \phi\rangle \qquad \qquad \square f \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\langle \nabla \times \vec{a}|\delta\rangle = -\langle \vec{a}|\nabla \phi\rangle \qquad \qquad \square f \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\langle \nabla \times \vec{a}|\delta\rangle = -\langle \vec{a}|\nabla \phi\rangle \qquad \qquad \square f \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

$$\langle \nabla \times \vec{a}|\delta\rangle = \langle \vec{a}|\nabla \phi\rangle \qquad \qquad \square f \nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0$$

#### Journal of Computational Physics 258 (2014) 246-267



# Symmetry-preserving discretization of Navier–Stokes equations on collocated unstructured grids



F.X. Trias <sup>a,b</sup>, O. Lehmkuhl <sup>a,c</sup>, A. Oliva <sup>a,\*,1</sup>, C.D. Pérez-Segarra <sup>a</sup>, R.W.C.P. Verstappen <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center, Technical University of Catalonia, ETSEAT, c/ Colom 11, 08222 Terrassa, Spain <sup>b</sup> Johann Bernoulli Institute for Mathematics and Computing Science, University of Groningen, P.O. Box 407, 9700 AK Groningen, The Netherlands <sup>c</sup> Termor Fluids, S.L., c/ Magi Coler & 0.0204 Sabadell, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 19 November 2012 Received in revised form 16 September 2013 Accepted 17 October 2013 Available online 24 October 2013

#### Keywords:

Symmetry-preserving discretization Collocated formulation Unstructured grid Checkerboard Regularization Differentially heated cavity

#### ABSTRACT

A fully-conservative discretization is presented in this paper. The same principles followed by Verstappen and Veldman (2003) [3] are generalized for unstructured meshes. Here, a collocated-mesh scheme is preferred over a staggered one due to its simpler form for such meshes. The basic idea behind this approach remains the same: mimicking the crucial symmetry properties of the underlying differential operators, i.e., the convective operator is approximated by a skew-symmetric matrix and the diffusive operator by a symmetry, positive-definite matrix. A novel approach to eliminate the checkerbaord spurious modes without introducing any non-physical dissipation is proposed. To do so, a fully-conservative regularization of the convective term is used. The supraconvergence of the method is numerically showed and the treatment of boundary conditions is discussed. Finally, the new discretization method is successfully tested for a buoyancy-driven turbulent flow in a differentially heated cavity.

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Conclusions

## CTTC's historical background in HPC



Algebra-based HPC implementation

Conclusions

#### Divergence of HPC systems



The progress in hardware architectures is leading to an increasing hybridisation of highperformance computing (HPC) systems, making the design of computing applications a rather complex problem, and is affecting most of the fields that rely on large-scale simulations.



CFD and HPC at CTTC 00 Symmetry-preserving discretization

Algebra-based HPC implementation

Conclusions

#### Divergence of HPC systems



#### Fully-portable implementation models

Is it necessary to use the new hardware architectures?

• In our opinion, **yes**. New hardware is designed to overcome the power constraint in the context of the **exascale** challenge.

#### Fully-portable implementation models

Is it necessary to use the new hardware architectures?

• In our opinion, **yes**. New hardware is designed to overcome the power constraint in the context of the **exascale** challenge.

Do the traditional implementation models facilitate code portability?

 In our opinion, no. Legacy codes were not designed for providing portability simply because it was not necessary.

#### Fully-portable implementation models

Is it necessary to use the new hardware architectures?

• In our opinion, **yes**. New hardware is designed to overcome the power constraint in the context of the **exascale** challenge.

Do the traditional implementation models facilitate code portability?

 In our opinion, no. Legacy codes were not designed for providing portability simply because it was not necessary.

Do we need to change the way we look at scientific computing in general?

 In our opinion, yes. Making an effort to design modular applications composed of a reduced number of independent and well-defined code blocks helps to reduce the generation of errors and facilitates debugging and portability.
Symmetry-preserving discretization

Algebra-based HPC implementation

Conclusions

### My basic blocks: matrices and vectors!

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} \frac{d u_h}{d t} + \boldsymbol{C}(u_h) u_h = \boldsymbol{D} u_h - \boldsymbol{\Omega} \boldsymbol{G} p_h \qquad \boldsymbol{M} u_h = \boldsymbol{0}_h$$



### Fully-portable implementation models

#### Stencil-based

Traditionally, the stencil-based implementations are used by the scientific computing community. These implementations arise straightforward from the formulation of the numerical method. However, they require **specific stencil sweeps and data structures** for each numerical method.

#### Algebra-based

Algebra-based implementations only rely on a reduced number of **universal algebraic kernels and data structures**, allowing the use of standard optimised libraries and, therefore, providing portability. As a counterpart, the formulation of the numerical method becomes more complex and could even lead to an increase in the number of operations.



### The HPC<sup>2</sup> fully-portable, algebra-based framework



Conclusions

### The HPC<sup>2</sup> fully-portable, algebra-based framework



Conclusions

## The HPC<sup>2</sup> fully-portable, algebra-based framework



Conclusions

## The HPC<sup>2</sup> fully-portable, algebra-based framework



Conclusions

### A performance overview of the HPC<sup>2</sup>

#### Study case 1

**Single-device performance** of the SpMV kernel *vs* the matrix size on an Intel Xeon E5649 (left) and Nvidia M2090 (right) for a matrix derived from a symmetry-preserving discretisation<sup>1</sup> on an unstructured hex-dominant mesh.



Conclusions

### A performance overview of the HPC<sup>2</sup>

#### Study case 1

**Single-device performance** of the SpMV kernel *vs* the matrix size on an Intel Xeon E5649 (left) and Nvidia M2090 (right) for a matrix derived from a symmetry-preserving discretisation<sup>1</sup> on an unstructured hex-dominant mesh.



#### **CPU vs GPU**

In memory-bounded applications, the GPU performance improves with the size of the matrix, in contrast with that of the CPU. Hence, the speedup depends on both the matrix size and the memory bandwidth.

Conclusions

### A performance overview of the HPC<sup>2</sup>

### Study case 2

**Single-device performance** comparison of the algebraic DNS algorithm using the symmetry-preserving discretisation<sup>2</sup> on an unstructured hex-dominant mesh of 1M cells.



Conclusions

### A performance overview of the HPC<sup>2</sup>

### Study case 3

**Heterogeneous performance study** of the SpMV kernel on a hybrid node equipped with an Intel E5 2697v3 and an Nvidia Tesla K40 for a matrix derived from a symmetry-preserving discretisation<sup>3</sup> on an unstructured hex-dominant mesh of 10M cells. On the left, the single-node performance study. On the right, the strong-scaling study.



Symmetry-preserving discretization

Algebra-based HPC implementation

Computers and Fluids 173 (2018) 285-292



# $\rm HPC^2-A$ fully-portable, algebra-based framework for heterogeneous computing. Application to CFD



X. Álvarez<sup>a,\*</sup>, A. Gorobets<sup>a,b</sup>, F.X. Trias<sup>a</sup>, R. Borrell<sup>a,c</sup>, G. Oyarzun<sup>a</sup>

<sup>3</sup> Heat and Mass Transfer Technological Center, Technical University of Catalonia, C/ Colom 11, Terrassa (Barcelona) 08222, Spain <sup>b</sup> Kelaysh Institute of Applied Mathematics RAS, Musskaya Sa, 4, Moscow 125047, Russia <sup>c</sup>Termo Fuids, S.L., Cl Magi Colet S, Sabadell Barcelona), 08245, Spain

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 November 2017 Accepted 23 January 2018 Available online 10 February 2018

Keywords: Heterogeneous computing MPI+OpenMP+OpenCL Hybrid CPU+GPU systems CFD Symmetry-preserving discretization

#### ABSTRACT

The variety of computing architectures competing in the exascale race makes the portability of codes of major importance. In this work, the HPC<sup>2</sup> code is presented as a fully-portable, algebra-based framework suitable for heterogeneous computing. In its application to CPD, the algorithm of the time-integration phase relies on a reduced set of only three algebraic operations: the sparse matrix-wector product, the linear combination of vectors and the dot product. This algebraic approach combined with a multilevel MPI-OpenXIP-OpenCL parallelization naturally provides portability. The performance has been studied on different architectures including multicore CPUs, Intel Xeon Phi accelerators and CPUs of AMD and NVIDIA. The multi-CPU scalability is demonstrated up to 256 devices. The heterogeneous execution is tested on a CPU-CPU hybrid cluster, Finally, results of the direct numerical simulation of a turbulent flow in a 3D air-filled differentially heated cavity are presented to show the capabilities of the HPC<sup>2</sup> dealing with large-scale CPD simulations.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

### Concluding remarks

- Symmetry-preserving discretization is based on a **very reduced set** of operators (matrices). The rest follow straightforwardly by preserving fundamental symmetries.
- We consider that it forms a **solid basis for** testing **sub-grid scale models** (details in next talk).
- Preserving operators symmetries leads to **numerical stability** (in the L2-norm sense).
- An algebra-based approach naturally provides with **modularity and portability**.

### Concluding remarks

- Symmetry-preserving discretization is based on a **very reduced set** of operators (matrices). The rest follow straightforwardly by preserving fundamental symmetries.
- We consider that it forms a **solid basis for** testing **sub-grid scale models** (details in next talk).
- Preserving operators symmetries leads to **numerical stability** (in the L2-norm sense).
- An algebra-based approach naturally provides with **modularity and portability**.

Takeaway message:

• Differential calculus and linear algebra are intimately connected.

# Thank you for your attention